

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/065,699	11/11/2002	Erik Chell	125690-2	2347
23446	7590 10/29/2004		EXAM	INER
MCANDRE	WS HELD & MALL	CHURCH, CRAIG E		
500 WEST M	IADISON STREET			
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60661			2882	· . <del></del>

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			9hr.			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/065,699	CHELL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Craig E. Church	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIQ SET TO EVDIDE :	MONTH(S) EDOM				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		r a reply be timely filed thirly (30) days will be considered timely MONTHS from the mailing date of this co BABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	*					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application	٦.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-13,16-19,22</u> is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,14,15,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.						
					7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
TI) The oath of declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer, Note the attact	ned Office Action of form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>		No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO	-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:			•			

Art Unit: 2882

Claims 5, 6, 14, 15, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 5, 6, 20 and 21 describe how the apparatus may be used, but fail to further define the structure recited in parent claims 1 and 18 as required. The structural limitations conveyed by "energy beam radius" in claims 14 and 20 and by "energy beam angle" in claims 15 and 21 are unclear.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vinegar et al (4613754). Vinegar teaches a calibration phantom comprising a plastic core 104 in which are embedded a circular arrangement of aluminum pins 108 (lines 35-45 of column 5).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vinegar as above in view of Negrelli (5712895). Vinegar does not teach that the core comprises foam, but lines 35-37 of column 5 explain that the core:

Application/Control Number: 10/065,699

Art Unit: 2882

Page 3

can be made of, for example, Plexiglas or other suitable material having low x-ray absorption. Since foam is of low density and therefor of low x-ray absorption, it would have been obvious to use foam as Vinegar's core material as taught by Negrelli who shows phantom 10 of foam (lines 14-16 of column 8).

Claims 7-13, 16-19 and 22 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (571) 272-2488.

Craig E. Church Senior Examiner Art Unit 2882

Crong E Church